arXiv:1210.5950v1 [math.DG] 22 Oct 2012

LOWER BOUND OF RICCI FLOW'S EXISTENCE TIME

GUOYI XU

Abstract. Let (M^n, g) be a compact n-dim $(n \geq 2)$ manifold with $Rc \geq 0$, and if $n \geq 3$ we assume that $(M^n, g) \times \mathbb{R}$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature. Some lower bound of the Ricci flow's existence time on (M^n, g) is proved. This provides an alternative proof for the uniform lower bound of a family of closed Ricci flows' maximal existence times, which appears in Cabezas-Rivas and Wilking's paper [4]. We can also get an interior curvature estimates for $n = 3$ under $Rc \geq 0$ assumption among others. Combing these results, we can prove the short time existence of the Ricci flow on a large class of 3 dimensional open manifolds with $Rc \geq 0$, which especially generalizes the results of Cabezas-Rivas and Wilking in 3-dimension.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K15, 53C44

1. Introduction

In [7], Hamilton introduced Ricci flow and proved that the Ricci flow on any compact 3-dimensional manifold (M^3, g) with $Rc > 0$ will deform the metric g to a metric with constant positive sectional curvature. In [6], Chow proved the above theorem for 2-dim compact surfaces with positive curvature. When $n \geq 4$ and $(M^n, g) \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive isotropic curvature, Brendle proved that the Ricci flow deforms (M^n, g) to a Riemannian manifold of constant positive sectional curvature (see [1]).

From all the above, we know that if $Rc > 0$ when $n = 2$ and $(M^n, g) \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive isotropic curvature when $n \geq 3$, Ricci flow deforms (M^n, g) to a Riemannian manifold of constant positive sectional curvature.

In all the above case, using maximal principle, it is easy to get the upper bound of existence time of the Ricci flow on (M^n, g). In [13], M. Simon posed the following question (Problem 3.1 there):

Question 1.1. *What elements of the geometry need to be controlled, in order to guarantee that a solution of Ricci flow does not become singular?*

In this note, we give a lower bound of existence time of the Ricci flow on compact manifold (M^n, g) with $Rc \geq 0$, and if $n \geq 3$ we assume that $(M^n, g) \times \mathbb{R}$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature. More concretely, we proved the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact n-dim $(n \geq 2)$ manifold with $Rc \geq 0$, and if $n \geq 3$ we assume that $(M^n, g) \times \mathbb{R}$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature. Let*

Date: October 23, 2012.

$x_0 \in M^n$ be a fixed point satisfying $|Rm| \leq r_0^{-2}$ on $B_0(x_0, r_0)$ and '$B_0(x_0, r_0)$ is a δ-almost isoperimetrically Euclidean' defined in Theorem 2.1, where $r_0 > 0$ is some constant and $\delta = \delta(n)$, $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(n)$ are chosen in Remark 2.2. Then there exists $T = T(n, r_0) > 0$ such that the Ricci flow exists on $M^n \times [0, T]$. Also on $[0, T]$,

$$|Rm|(x,t) \leq 2r_0^{-2} \quad x \in B_t\Big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big)$$

Remark 1.3. *One interesting thing of such lower bound of existence time is that it only depends on the local property of manifolds in a neighborhood of a point, not globally on the whole manifold. This kind of lower bound on existence time for Ricci flow had been got in Corollary 5 of [4] for any complete manifolds with stronger curvature assumptions. Note for any point $x \in M^n$, we can always find the corresponding r_0 satisfying the assumptions in the above theorem, although such r_0 may be very small. This also provides a partial answer to Question 1.1 when manifolds satisfy the assumptions in the above theorem.*

The existence time's lower bound part of the following theorem is not totally new (see Corollary 5 in [4]), however in 3-dimension the results seems to be new. And our method to get such lower bound is different from the method in [4].

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact n-dim manifold with $Rc \geq 0$ where $n \geq 2$. If $n \geq 4$, we assume $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$. Let $x_0 \in M^n$ be a fixed point satisfying $|Rm| \leq r_0^{-2}$ on $B_0(x_0, r_0)$ and $Vol_0(B_0(x_0, r_0)) \geq v_0 r_0^n$ for some $v_0 > 0$, $r_0 > 0$. Then there exists $T = T(n, v_0, r_0) > 0$ such that the Ricci flow exists on $M^n \times [0, T]$. Also on $[0, T]$,*

$$|Rm|(x,t) \leq 2r_0^{-2} \quad x \in B_t\Big(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2}\Big)$$

Furthermore for any $r > \frac{r_0}{2}$, there is a $B = B(n, v_0, r_0, r) > 0$, such that on $[0, T]$,

$$|Rm(x,t)| \leq B + \frac{B}{t} \quad x \in B_t(x_0, \frac{r}{4}) \tag{1.1}$$

Remark 1.5. *Note that $(M^n, g) \times \mathbb{R}$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature is implied by nonnegative complex sectional curvature when $n \geq 4$ and is equivalent to $Rc \geq 0$ when $n = 3$. Theorem 1.4 has stronger assumptions on curvature than Theorem 1.2. The stronger assumptions about initial curvature is to guarantee getting (1.1), which is very important for our application to short-time existence of Ricci flow on noncompact manifolds (see the proof of Corollary 3.2).*

From Remark 2.7, we know the above theorem also holds for Kähler Ricci flow on compact Kähler manifolds with nonnegative bisectional curvature.

One motivation to get such lower bound is for studying the short-time existence of Ricci flow on open manifold (M^n, g) with the assumption in the above theorems.

In [4], when (M^n, g) has nonnegative complex sectional curvature, by considering the doubling of convex sets contained in a Cheeger-Gromoll convex exhaustion of (M^n, g) and solving the initial value problem for the Ricci flow on these closed manifolds, Cabezas-Rivas and Wilking obtain a sequence of closed solutions of the

Ricci flow $(M_i, g_i(t))$ with nonnegative complex sectional curvature which subconverge to a solution of the Ricci flow $(M^n, g(t))$. Finally they successfully established the short-time existence of the Ricci flow on (M^n, g) with $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$ among other things (see [4]).

One of the keys to get the above short-time existence result is to establish the following claim (see Proposition 4.3 in [4]):

Claim 1.6. *There is a lower bound (independent of i) for the maximal times of existence T_i for each Ricci flow $(M_i, g_i(t))$ obtained above.*

In [4], to get the above lower bound, the authors used an upper bound of scalar curvature integral on unit ball of manifold with curvature bounded from below, which was established by Petrunin in [12]. Petrunin's beautiful result was proved by using deep results in Alexandrov spaces, although the conclusion is in the category of Riemannian geometry.

One of applications of Theorem 1.2 is to provide a direct proof of Claim 1.6 without involving the results in Alexandrov spaces.

Moreover using Theorem 1.4 in 3 dimensional case, and following the same strategy in [4], we can get the short-time existence of Ricci flow on a larger class of 3-manifolds than the class of 3-manifolds with nonnegative sectional curvature. In [14], M. Simon proved the short-time existence of Ricci flow on a class of 3-manifolds with $Rc \geq 0$, other assumptions about curvature near infinity and injective radius. It seems that our class of manifolds do not have much overlap with his class.

A long term goal in 3-dim case is to prove the short-time existence of Ricci flow on complete manifolds with $Rc \geq 0$. We hope that our result will be helpful on studying this long term goal. The more ambitious target is to study the short time existence of Ricci flow on any complete manifold (M^n, g), provided that $(M^n, g)\times\mathbb{R}$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature. In this more general scheme, 3-dim case will be exactly the $Rc \geq 0$ case.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Bing-Long Chen and Miles Simon for their interests and comments. He is grateful to Gang Liu for pointing out the possible connection between Perelman's pseudolocality and the results in the earlier version of this note. He is also indebted to Simon Brendle for discussion, and Yuan Yuan for his interest and suggestion. Thanks also go to Zhiqin Lu and Jeff Streets.

2. PERELMAN'S PSEUDOLOCALITY AND LOCAL CURVATURE ESTIMATES IN RICCI FLOW

In the following computations, we will use some cut-off functions which are composition of a cut-off function of $\mathbb{R}$ and distance function. A cut-off function φ on real line $\mathbb{R}$, is a smooth nonnegative nonincreasing function, it is 1 on $(-\infty, 1]$ and 0 on $[2, \infty)$. We can further assume that

$$|\varphi'| \leq 2\ , \quad |\varphi''| + \frac{(\varphi')^2}{\varphi} \leq 16. \tag{2.1}$$

Another often used notation is $\square = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta$, where Δ is the Laplacian with the metric $g(t)$.

Let us recall Perelman's pseudolocality theorem firstly (see [11]).

Theorem 2.1 (Perelman's pseudolocality). *For every $\alpha > 0$ and $n \geq 2$ there exists $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on α and n with the following property. Let $(M^n, g(t))$, $t \in [0, T]$ be a smooth solution of the Ricci flow on compact manifold $(M^n, g(0))$. Let $r_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in M^n$ be a point such that*

$$R(x, 0) \geq -r_0^{-2} \quad for\ x \in B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0)$$

and '$B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0)$ is a δ-almost isoperimetrically Euclidean':

$$\Big(Vol_0(\partial\Omega)\Big)^n \geq (1 - \delta)c_n\Big(Vol_0(\Omega)\Big)^{n-1}$$

for any regular domain $\Omega \subset B_0(x_0, r_0)$, where $c_n \doteqdot n^n\omega_n$ is the Euclidean isoperimetric constant. Then we have the interior curvature estimate

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq \frac{\alpha}{t} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_0 r_0)^2}$$

for $x \in B_t(x_0, \epsilon_0 r_0)$ and $t \in \Big(0, \min\{(\epsilon_0 r_0)^2, T\}\Big)$.

Remark 2.2. *If we choose $\alpha = 2$ in the above theorem, fix n, x_0 and suitable r_0, we get that there exists numerical constants $\delta = \delta(2, n)$ and $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(2, n) < 1$ such that*

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq \frac{2}{t} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_0 r_0)^2} \tag{2.2}$$

for $x \in B_t(x_0, \epsilon_0 r_0)$ and $t \in \Big(0, \min\{(\epsilon_0 r_0)^2, T\}\Big]$.

We also need a theorem due to Bing-Long Chen in [5].

Theorem 2.3 (Bing-Long Chen). *There is a constant $C = C(n)$ with the following property. Suppose we have a smooth solution to the Ricci flow $(M^n, g(t))_{t\in[0,T]}$ such that $B_t(x_0, r_0)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, is compactly constained in M^n,*

$$|Rm|(x, 0) \leq r_0^{-2} \quad for\ x \in B_0(x_0, r_0)$$

and

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq \frac{K}{t}$$

where $K \geq 1$, $d_t(x, t) = dist_t(x_0, x) < r_0$ and $0 < t \leq T$.

Then we have

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq e^{CK}\Big(r_0 - d_t(x_0, x)\Big)^{-2}$$

whenever $0 \leq t \leq T$, $d_t(x, t) = dist_t(x_0, x) < r_0$.

The following proposition is motivated by Theorem 3.6 in [5]. The strategy of the proof is applying Perelman's pseudolocality theorem to get (2.2), then we can use Theorem 2.3 to get (2.3).

Proposition 2.4. *Let* $(M^n, g(t))$, $t \in [0,T]$ *be a smooth solution of the Ricci flow on compact manifold* $(M^n, g(0))$*. Let* $x_0 \in M^n$ *be a fixed point satisfying* $|Rm| \leq r_0^{-2}$ *on* $B_0(x_0, r_0)$ *and '*$B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0)$ *is a* δ*-almost isoperimetrically Euclidean' defined as in Theorem 2.1, where* $r_0 > 0$ *is some constant and* $\delta = \delta(n)$, $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(n)$ *are chosen as in Remark 2.2. Then there exists* $C = C(r_0, n) > 0$ *such that*

$$|Rm| \leq 2r_0^{-2} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x \in B_t\Big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big)$, $t \in \Big[0, \min\{T, C(r_0, n)\}\Big]$.

Proof: We define T_0 as the following:

$$T_0 \doteqdot \max\Big\{t \Big| \ t \leq T, \ |Rm|(x,s) \leq 2r_0^{-2}, \ when\ x \in B_s\Big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big), \ and\ s \in [0,t]\Big\}$$

Recall by assumption, $|Rm(x,0)| \leq r_0^{-2}$ on $B_0(x_0, r_0)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $T_0 < \min\{T, 1\}$. Then there is (x_1, t_1) such that $t_1 \leq T_0$, $x_1 \in B_{t_1}\Big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big)$, $|Rm|(x_1, t_1) = 2r_0^{-2}$. By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we get

$$|Rm(x,t)| \leq \frac{2 + \frac{T_0}{\left(\epsilon_0 r_0\right)^2}}{t} \leq \frac{C(n, r_0)}{t} \tag{2.4}$$

whenever $x \in B_t(x_0, \epsilon_0 r_0)$ and $t \in (0, \min\{T_0, (\epsilon_0 r_0)^2\}]$.

Now apply Theorem 2.3 combining with (2.4), we have $|Rm|(x,t) \leq C(n, r_0)$ on $B_t\Big(x_0, \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big)$, where $t \in \Big[0, \min\{T_0, (\epsilon_0 r_0)^2\}\Big]$.

Consider the evolution equation of $u = \varphi(\frac{4d_t(x_0,x)}{\epsilon_0 r_0} - 1)|Rm(x,t)|^2$, where φ is the smooth nonnegative decreasing function chosen as before.

$$\begin{aligned} \Box u \ &\leq \Big(\tfrac{4\varphi'}{r_0}\Box d_t(x_0, x) - \tfrac{16}{r_0^2}\varphi''\Big)|Rm|^2 - 2\varphi|\nabla Rm|^2 \\ &\quad -2\nabla\varphi\nabla|Rm|^2 + C_1\varphi|Rm|^3 \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is some constant depending only on n.

Let $u_{max}(t) = u(x(t), t) = \max_{x \in M^3} u(x,t)$, then by the maximum principle and the properties of φ, and using Lemma 8.3 in [11], at $(x(t), t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{d^+}{dt} u_{max} \ &\leq C(n, r_0)|Rm|^2 + C|Rm|u_{max} \\ &\leq C(n, r_0) + C(n, r_0)u_{max} = C + Cu_{max} \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(n, r_0)$ only depends on n, r_0. Integrating this inequality,

$$u_{max}(t) \leq [u_{max}(0) + 1]e^{Ct} - 1 \leq [r_0^{-4} + 1]e^{Ct} - 1$$

Hence there exists $C(n, r_0) > 0$ such that $u_{max}(t) < 4r_0^{-4}$ when $0 \leq t \leq \min\{C(n, r_0), T_0\}$. Then we get $|Rm(x,t)| < 2r_0^{-2}$ on $B_t\Big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big)$ when $0 \leq t \leq \min\{C(v_0, r_0), T_0\}$. Recall that there is (x_1, t_1) such that $t_1 \leq T_0$, $x_1 \in B_{t_1}\Big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big)$, $|Rm|(x_1, t_1) = 2r_0^{-2}$. Therefore $T_0 \geq \min\{T, C(n, r_0)\}$. □

We recall the definition of complex sectional curvature.

Definition 2.5. *Let* (M^n, g) *be a Riemannian manifold, and consider its complexified tangent bundle* $T^{\mathbb{C}}M := TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$*. We extend the curvature tensor* Rm *and the metric* g *at* p *to* $\mathbb{C}$*-multilinear maps* $Rm : (T_p^{\mathbb{C}}M)^4 \to \mathbb{C}$, $g : (T_p^{\mathbb{C}}M)^2 \to \mathbb{C}$.

The complex sectional curvature of a 2*-dimensional complex subspace* σ *of* $T_p^{\mathbb{C}}M$ *is defined by*

$$K^{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma) = Rm(u, v, \overline{v}, \overline{u}) = g\Big(Rm(u \wedge v), \overline{u \wedge v}\Big),$$

where u *and* v *form any unitary basis for* σ*, i.e.* $g(u, \overline{u}) = g(v, \overline{v}) = 1$ *and* $g(u, \overline{v}) = 0$*. We say* (M^n, g) *has nonnegative complex sectional curvature if* $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$*.*

The following proposition is very close to Proposition 2.4, and the assumption about initial curvature is to guarantee getting (2.6), which is very important for our application to Ricci flow on noncompact manifolds.

Proposition 2.6. *Let* $(M^n, g(x, 0))$ *be a compact* n*-dim manifold with* $Rc \geq 0$*. If* $n \geq 4$*, we assume* $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$*. Let* $x_0 \in M^n$ *be a fixed point satisfying* $|Rm| \leq r_0^{-2}$ *on* $B_0(x_0, r_0)$ *and* $Vol_0(B_0(x_0, r_0)) \geq v_0 r_0^n$ *for some* $v_0 > 0$*,* $r_0 > 0$*. Let* $g(x, t)$ *,* $t \in [0, T]$ *be a smooth solution to the Ricci flow with* $g(x, 0)$ *as initial metric. Then there exists* $C = C(n, v_0, r_0) > 0$ *such that we have*

$$|Rm| \leq 2r_0^{-2} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x \in B_t(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})$*,* $0 \leq t \leq \min\{T, C(v_0, r_0)\}$*. Furthermore for any* $r > \frac{r_0}{2}$*, there is a* $B = B(n, v_0, r_0, r) > 0$*, such that*

$$|Rm(x, t)| \leq B + \frac{B}{t} \tag{2.6}$$

whenever $x \in B_t(x_0, \frac{r}{4})$ *and* $0 \leq t \leq \min\{T, C(n, v_0, r_0)\}$*.*

Remark 2.7. *This proposition generalizes the compact case of Theorem* 3.6 *in* [5] *to higher dimensions. The observation is: we need two key facts in the proof of Theorem* 3.6 *there. The first one is* $Rc \geq 0$ *during Ricci flow. The other one is that asymptotic volume ratios (AVR) of related ancient solutions with the same curvature assumptions as initial metric are* 0*. When we can get these two facts from initial condition, our proof follows the lines given in the proof of Theorem* 3.6 *in* [5]*, although a number of modifications are necessary.*

For Kähler Ricci flow, we can get similar results on compact Kähler manifolds with nonnegative bisectional curvature from the related fact $AVR = 0$ *in* [9]*.*

Proof: From the assumption, we get that $Rc \geq 0$ is preserved from [7] when $n = 2, 3$. When $n \geq 4$, $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$ is preserved from [2] (also see [10]). Note $K_g^{\mathbb{C}}$ implies $Rc \geq 0$, hence $Rc(x, t) \geq 0$ on $M^n \times [0, T]$.

We define T_0 as the following:

$$T_0 \doteqdot \max\Big\{t \Big| \ t \leq T, \ |Rm|(x, s) \leq 2r_0^{-2}, \ when \ x \in B_s(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2}), \ and \ s \in [0, t]\Big\}$$

Recall by assumption, $|Rm(x, 0)| \leq r_0^{-2}$ on $B_0(x_0, r_0)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $T_0 < T$. Then there is (x_1, t_1) such that $t_1 \leq T_0$, $x_1 \in B_{t_1}(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})$, $|Rm|(x_1, t_1) = 2r_0^{-2}$. We will estimate T_0 from below by a positive constant depending only on n, v_0 and r_0. We have the following cliam:

Claim 2.8. *For any* $r > \frac{r_0}{2}$, *there is a* $B = B(n, \nu_0, r_0, r) > 0$, *such that*

$$|Rm(x,t)| \leq B + \frac{B}{t} \tag{2.7}$$

whenever $x \in B_t(x_0, \frac{r}{4})$ *and* $t \in [0, T_0]$.

Proof of Claim 2.8: We argue by contradiction. Suppose (2.7) does not hold. Then for some fixed $r > \frac{r_0}{2}$, there exists a sequence of Ricci flow solutions $(M^n, g^{(i)}(t))$, such that there exists some (x_i, t_i), $x_i \in B_{g^{(i)}(t_i)}(x_0, \frac{r}{4})$ and $t_i \in [0, T_0]$ satisfying $|Rm(x_i, t_i)|_{g^{(i)}} \geq B_i + \frac{B_i}{t_i}$ with $\lim_{i\to\infty} B_i = \infty$.

Using Lemma 2.9 in the following, let $A_i = \frac{r}{25}B_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and assume $A_i \geq 1$, then we can choose $(\overline{x_i}, \overline{t_i})$ with $\overline{Q_i} = |Rm(\overline{x_i}, \overline{t_i})|_{g^{(i)}} \geq B_i\overline{t_i}^{-1}$ such that $\overline{x_i} \in B_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}(x_0, 2A_iB_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{r}{4})$ and $|Rm(x,t)|_{g^{(i)}(t)} \leq 4\overline{Q_i}$ for all $d_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}(x, \overline{x}) \leq \frac{1}{10}A_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\overline{Q_i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\overline{t_i} - \frac{2}{25n}A_i\overline{Q_i}^{-1} \leq t \leq \overline{t_i}$. Note

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V_t(B_0(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})) = -\int_{B_0(x_0,\frac{r_0}{2})} R(x,t)dV_t(x) \geq -C(n)r_0^{-2}V_t(B_0(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2}))$$

where we used the fact $Rc(x,t) \geq 0$ and the assumption that $|Rm(x,t)| \leq 2r_0^{-2}$ for all $x \in B_t(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})$ and $t \in [0, T_0]$ during the Ricci flow. Hence

$$V_{g^{(i)}(t)}(B_0(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})) \geq C\nu_0 r_0^n e^{-Cr_0^{-2}} \doteqdot \phi(\nu_0, r_0)$$

By $B_0(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2}) \subset B_t(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2}) \subset B_t(x_0, r)$, on $[0, T_0]$,

$$V_{g^{(i)}(t)}(B_t(x_0, r)) \geq V_{g^{(i)}(t)}(B_0(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})) \geq \phi(\nu_0, r_0)$$

Scaling metric by $\overline{g}^{(i)}(t) = \overline{Q_i}g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i} + t\overline{Q_i}^{-1})$ around $(\overline{x_i}, \overline{t_i})$. Note

$$d_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}(\overline{x_i}, x_0) \leq \frac{r}{4} + 2A_iB_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{r}{3}$$

If $0 < s \leq \frac{2}{3}r\overline{Q_i}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, note $Rc(g(t)) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$, then using volume comparison theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V_{\overline{g}^{(i)}(0)}\Big(B_{\overline{g}^{(i)}(0)}(\overline{x_i}, s)\Big)}{s^n} &= \frac{V_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}\Big(B_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}(x_i, s\overline{Q_i}^{-\frac{1}{2}})\Big)}{(s\overline{Q_i}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n} \geq \frac{V_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}(B_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}(x_i, \frac{2}{3}r))}{(\frac{2}{3}r)^n} \\ &\geq \frac{V_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}\Big(B_{g^{(i)}(\overline{t_i})}(x_0, \frac{r}{3})\Big)}{\left(\frac{2}{3}r\right)^n} \geq \frac{1}{2^n}\frac{\phi(\nu_0, r_0)}{r^n} \end{aligned}$$

Combine $|\overline{Rm}(x,0)| \leq 4$, we get $inj_{\overline{g}^{(i)}(0)}(\overline{x_i}) \geq C(\nu_0, r_0, r) > 0$. Note $|\overline{Rm}(x,t)| \leq 4$ for $t \in [-A_i, 0]$. Use compactness theorem for Ricci flow, we can extract a subsequence Ricci flow solutions, which are convergent to a nonflat ancient smooth complete solution to Ricci flow, which has max volume growth and $|Rm| \leq 4$.

When $n = 2$, it is obvious that $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$. We also have $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$ when $n = 3$, because any 3-dim ancient solution has $Rm \geq 0$ by [5]. When $n \geq 4$, $K_g^{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$ is preserved. From Lemma 4.5 in [4], we know that for any complete nonflat ancient solution with bounded and nonnegative complex sectional curvature, its asymptotic volume ratio is 0. That is contradiction with the max volume growth property we get above. Therefore Claim 2.8 is proved. $\square$

Without loss of generality, we can further assume $T_0 \leq 1$. Now choose $r = 4r_0$ and apply Theorem 2.3, we have $|Rm(x,t)| \leq C(v_0, r_0)$ on $B_t(x_0, \frac{3}{4}r_0)$, $t \in [0, T_0]$.

Consider the evolution equation of $u = \varphi(\frac{4d_t(x_0,x)}{r_0} - 1)|Rm(x,t)|^2$, where φ is the smooth nonnegative decreasing function chosen as before.

$$\begin{aligned} \square u \ & \leq \Big(\frac{4\varphi'}{r_0}\square d_t(x_0,x) - \frac{16}{r_0^2}\varphi''\Big)|Rm|^2 - 2\varphi|\nabla Rm|^2 \\ & -2\nabla\varphi\nabla|Rm|^2 + C_1\varphi|Rm|^3 \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is some constant depending only on n.

Let $u_{max}(t) = u(x(t),t) = \max_{x\in M^3} u(x,t)$, then by the maximum principle and the properties of φ, and using Lemma 8.3 in [11], at $(x(t),t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^+}{dt}u_{max} \ & \leq C(r_0)|Rm|^2 + C|Rm|u_{max} \\ & \leq C(v_0,r_0) + C(v_0,r_0)u_{max} = C + Cu_{max} \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(n, v_0, r_0)$ only depends on n, v_0 and r_0. Integrating this inequality,

$$u_{max}(t) \leq [u_{max}(0) + 1]e^{Ct} - 1 \leq [r_0^{-4} + 1]e^{Ct} - 1$$

Hence there exists $C(n, v_0, r_0) > 0$ such that $u_{max}(t) < 4r_0^{-4}$ when $0 \leq t \leq \min\{C(n, v_0, r_0), T_0\}$. Then we get $|Rm(x,t)| < 2r_0^{-2}$ on $B_t(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})$ when $0 \leq t \leq \min\{C(n, v_0, r_0), T_0\}$. Recall that there is (x_1, t_1) such that $t_1 \leq T_0$, $x_1 \in B_{t_1}(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2})$, $|Rm|(x_1, t_1) = 2r_0^{-2}$. Therefore $T_0 \geq \min\{T, C(n, v_0, r_0)\}$. $\square$

The following lemma is originally due to G. Perelman (see section 10 in [11]). We do some modifications to make it suitable for our use in the above proof of Claim 2.8. Notice that we do NOT have $\alpha < \frac{1}{100n}$ as in the assumptions of Perelman's Claim 1 and Claim 2, therefore modifications are necessary.

Lemma 2.9. *$(M^n, g(t))$ is a Ricci flow complete solution on $[0,T]$. $B > 0$, $r > 0$ are some fixed constants, $\hat{x}$ is some point in $B_{g(\hat{t})}(x_0, \frac{r}{4})$ for some $\hat{t} \in (0,T]$, and satisfies $|Rm(\hat{x},\hat{t})|_{g(\hat{t})} \geq B + \frac{B}{\hat{t}}$. For any constant A such that $0 < A \leq B$, we can choose $(\bar{x},\bar{t})$ with $\bar{Q} = |Rm(\bar{x},\bar{t})|_{g(\bar{t})} \geq B\bar{t}^{-1}$ such that $\bar{x} \in B_{g(\bar{t})}(x_0, 2AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{r}{4})$ and $|Rm(x,t)|_{g(t)} \leq 4\bar{Q}$ for all $d_{g(\bar{t})}(x,\bar{x}) \leq \frac{1}{10}A\bar{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\bar{t} - \frac{2}{25n}A\bar{Q}^{-1} \leq t \leq \bar{t}$.*

Proof: Step (I). We firstly prove the following claim.

Claim 2.10. *We can find $(\check{x},\check{t})$, $|Rm|(\check{x},\check{t}) \geq B\check{t}^{-1}$ and $\check{t} \in (0,T]$, $d_{g(\check{t})}(\check{x}, x_0) \leq 2AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{r}{4}$ such that*

$$|Rm|(x,t) \leq 4|Rm|(\check{x},\check{t}) \tag{2.8}$$

whenever

$$|Rm|(x,t) \geq Bt^{-1}, \ 0 < t \leq \check{t}, \ d_{g(t)}(x,x_0) \leq d_{g(\check{t})}(\check{x},x_0) + A|Rm|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\check{x},\check{t}) \tag{2.9}$$

For (2.8) or (2.9), we say (x,t) satisfies (2.8) or (2.9) for $(\check{x},\check{t})$.

Proof of Claim 2.10: We use the notation (x_1, t_1) for $(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$. If we choose $(\check{x}, \check{t})$ as (x_1, t_1), and any (x, t) satisfying (2.9) will satisfy (2.8) for (x_1, t_1), then we are done. Otherwise, we can find (x_2, t_2) satisfies (2.9) but not (2.8) for (x_1, t_1). Then

$$|Rm|(x_2, t_2) > 4|Rm|(x_1, t_1) \geq 4B, \quad |Rm(x_2, t_2)| \geq Bt_2^{-1} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$d_{g(t_2)}(x_2, x_0) \leq d_{g(t_1)}(x_1, x_0) + AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{r}{4} + AB^{-frac12} \tag{2.11}$$

By induction, for any positive integer k, we can find (x_k, t_k) such that

$$|Rm|(x_k, t_k) \geq 4^{k-1}B \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$d_{g(t_k)}(x_k, x_0) \leq \frac{r}{4} + 2AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.13}$$

Because $B_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{r}{4} + 2AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \times [0, T]$ is compact, $|Rm|$ is bounded on it. By (2.12), the above induction stops at finite steps. Hence we find $(\check{x}, \check{t})$ such that $d_{g(\check{t})}(\check{x}, x_0) \leq 2AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{r}{4}$ and $|Rm|(\check{x}, \check{t}) \geq B\check{t}^{-1}$. Further more, if (x, t) satisfies (2.9) for $(\check{x}, \check{t})$ then (x, t) satisfies (2.8) for $(\check{x}, \check{t})$. The claim is proved. □

Step (II). Let $(\overline{x}, \overline{t}) = (\check{x}, \check{t})$. We will prove the conclusion in the theorem by contradiction. Assume there exists $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ such that $d_{g(\overline{t})}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \leq \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\tilde{t} \in [\overline{t} - \frac{2}{25n}A\overline{Q}^{-1}, \overline{t}]$, but $|Rm|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) > 4|Rm|(\overline{x}, \overline{t})$. Then $|Rm|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) > 4B\overline{t}^{-1} > B\tilde{t}^{-1}$.

$$d_{g(\overline{t})}(\tilde{x}, x_0) \leq d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + d_{g(\overline{t})}(\tilde{x}, \overline{x}) \leq d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.14}$$

Hence $\overline{B}_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \subset B_{g(\overline{t})}(x_0, d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + \frac{9}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Suppose that there exists $t_1 \geq \overline{t} - \frac{2}{25n}A\overline{Q}^{-1}$ which is the first time , going backwards in time from $\overline{t}$, such that $\overline{B}_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ intersects the boundary of $\overline{B}_{g(t_1)}(x_0, d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + \frac{9}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Let x_* be such at point of intersection, such that

$$d_{g(t_1)}(x_*, x_0) = d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + \frac{9}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.15}$$

By $x_* \in \overline{B}_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{1}})$, we get

$$d_{g(\overline{t})}(x_*, x_0) \leq d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.16}$$

Also we have $d_{g(t)}(x_*, x_0) \leq d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + \frac{9}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in [t_1, \overline{t}]$. Then for $t \in [t_1, \overline{t}]$,

$$\overline{B}_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \subset \overline{B}_{g(t)}(x_0, d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{2.17}$$

By Claim 2.10, we get $|Rm|(x, t) \leq 4\overline{Q}$ in $\overline{B}_{g(t)}\left(x_0, d_{g(\overline{t})}(\overline{x}, x_0) + A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \times [\overline{t} - \frac{2}{25n}A\overline{Q}^{-1}, \overline{t}]$. Then $|Rc(x, t)| \leq 4(n-1)\overline{Q}$ for $x \in \overline{B}_{g(t)}(x_*, \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \cup \overline{B}_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{1}{10}A\overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $t \in [t_1, \overline{t}]$. Hence we can apply Lemma 8.3 (b) in [11] (where we choose $K = 4\overline{Q}$, $r_0 = \overline{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}d_{g(t)}(x_*, x_0) \geq -10(n-1)\overline{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.18}$$

Integrate

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{g(t_1)}(x_*, x_0) &\leq d_{g(\bar{t})}(x_*, x_0) + 10(n-1)\bar{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \tfrac{2}{25n} A\bar{Q}^{-1} \\
&< d_{g(\bar{t})}(\bar{x}, x_0) + \tfrac{9}{10} A\bar{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.19}
$$

This is the contradiction with (2.15). Hence $\bar{B}_{g(\bar{t})}(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{10}A\bar{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \subset \bar{B}_{g(t)}(x_0, d_{g(\bar{t})}(\bar{x}, x_0)+\frac{9}{10}A\bar{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $t \in [\bar{t} - \frac{2}{25n}A\bar{Q}^{-1}, \bar{t}]$. By $\tilde{x} \in \bar{B}_{g(\bar{t})}(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{10}A\bar{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, we get

$$
d_{g(\tilde{t})}(\tilde{x}, x_0) \leq d_{g(\bar{t})}(\bar{x}, x_0) + \frac{9}{10}A\bar{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\tag{2.20}
$$

By Claim 2.10, we get $|Rm|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \leq 4|Rm|(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$. That is contradiction. □

3. EXISTENCE TIME ESTIMATE OF RICCI FLOW

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

***Proof of Theorem 1.2**:* If (M^n, g) is flat, We get our conclusion trivially. In the following of the proof, we assume (M^n, g) is not flat. We have the following claim:

Claim 3.1. *$|Rm(x,t)|$ on $(M^n, g(t))$ blow up at the same time during the Ricci flow.*

Proof: When $n = 2$, it is easy to get from [6]. The claim follows from results in [7] and [8] when $n = 3$. When $n \geq 4$, we can get the claim from results in [1] and a general maximum principle in [3]. □

Assume on (M^n, g), the Ricci flow's maximal existence time interval is $[0, T_1)$, where $0 < T_1 < \infty$. We define T_0 as the following:

$$
T_0 \doteqdot \max\left\{ t \,\middle|\, t < T_1,\ |Rm|(x,s) \leq 2r_0^{-2},\ \textit{when } x \in B_t\Big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\Big),\ \textit{and } s \in [0,t],\ \right\}
$$

From the above claim, we get $T_0 < T_1$, hence the Ricci flow has the smooth solution on $M^n \times [0, T_0]$.

If $T_0 < C(r_0, n)$, where $C(r_0, n)$ is from Proposition 2.4, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $T_0 + \epsilon \leq C(v_0, r_0)$ and the Ricci flow has smooth solution on $M^n \times [0, T_0 + \epsilon]$. From Proposition 2.4, we get $|Rm|(x,t) \leq 2r_0^{-2}$ for $x \in B_t\big(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 r_0\big)$ and $t \in [0, T_0 + \epsilon]$. It is contradiction with the definition of T_0.

Hence $T_0 \geq C(r_0, n)$, we get our conclusion. □

***Proof of Theorem 1.4**:* Recall that $(M^n, g(x,0)) \times \mathbb{R}$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature is implied by nonnegative complex sectional curvature when $n \geq 4$ and is equivalent to $Rc \geq 0$ when $n = 3$. Hence we still have Claim 3.1 under the assumption of Theorem 1.4. The rest proof of Theorem 1.4 is similar to the above proof except that we use Proposition 2.6 instead of Proposition 2.4. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let (M^3, g) be a complete noncompact 3-dimensional manifold with $Rc \geq 0$. Assume there exists an exhaustion $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of (M^3, g) with the property $\Omega_i \subset\subset \Omega_{i+1}$ for eahc i, and for each Ω_i there exists $(M_i, g^{(i)})$ with $Rc(g^{(i)}) \geq 0$ such that Ω_i can be isometrically imbedded into $(M_i, g^{(i)})$. Then there exists a constant $T > 0$ such that the Ricci flow has a complete solution on $M^3 \times [0, T]$ with $g(0) = g$.*

Proof: The proof of the corollary is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.7 in [4], except that we use Theorem 1.4 here instead of Proposition 4.3 and 4.6 there. Note in this case, the estimate (1.1) is similar to Proposition 4.6 there. □

Example 3.3. *From Proposition* 6.7 *in* [4], *if* (M^3, g) *is a* 3*-dim open manifold with* $Rm \geq 0$, *then there exists an exhaustion* $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ *of* (M^3, g) *with the property* $\Omega_i \subset\subset \Omega_{i+1}$ *for eahc* i*. And for each* Ω_i, *there exists* $(M_i, g^{(i)})$ *with* $Rm(g^{(i)}) \geq 0$ *such that* Ω_i *can be isometrically imbedded into* $(M_i, g^{(i)})$*. By the Corollary above, the Ricci flow has a complete solution on* $M^3 \times [0, T]$ *for some* $T > 0$, *this is the* 3*-dim case of Theorem* 1 *in* [4].

REFERENCES

[1] Simon, Brendle: *A general convergence result for the Ricci flow in higher dimensions*. Duke Math. J. 145 (2008), no. 3, 585-601.

[2] Simon, Brendle & Richard, Schoen: *Manifolds with 1/4-pinched curvature are space forms*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009), no. 1, 287-307.

[3] Simon, Brendle & Richard, Schoen: *Classification of manifolds with weakly 1/4-pinched curvatures*. Acta Math. 200 (2008), no. 1, 1-13.

[4] Esther, Cabezas-Rivas & Burkhard, Wilking: *How to produce a Ricci Flow via Cheeger-Gromoll exhaustion*. arXiv:math.DG/1107.0606

[5] Bing-Long Chen: *Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow*. J. Differential Geom. 82 (2009), no. 2, 363-382.

[6] Bennett, Chow: *The Ricci flow on the 2-sphere*. J. Differential Geom. 33 (1991), no. 2, 325-334.

[7] Richard S. Hamilton: *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*. J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 2, 255-306.

[8] Richard S. Hamilton: *Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator*. J. Differential Geom. 24 (1986), no. 2, 153-179.

[9] Lei, Ni: *Ancient solutions to Khler-Ricci flow*. Math. Res. Lett. 12 (2005), no. 5-6, 633-653.

[10] Lei, Ni & Jon, Wolfson: *Positive Complex Sectional Curvature, Ricci Flow and the Differential Sphere Theorem*. arXiv:math.DG/0706.0332

[11] G. Perelman: *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*. arXiv:math.DG/0211159

[12] A. Petrunin: *An upper bound for the curvature integral*, Algebra i Analiz 20 (2008), no. 2, 134-148 (Russian); translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 20 (2009), no. 2, 255-265.

[13] Miles, Simon: *Ricci flow of almost non-negatively curved three manifolds*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 630 (2009), 177-217.

[14] Miles, Simon: *Ricci flow of non-collapsed three manifolds whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 662 (2012), 59-94

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92697
E-mail address: `guoyixu@math.uci.edu`